Pertenting Hydrogen atom by Electric field (Stark effect)

of the main result Schematic sketch (see Fig 5.3 in Cothfried - Yan):

Electric field ON take-away from this figure is that when fleetic field << 11 (= E(251/2)-E(2P1/2)) then the energy shift due to the electric field is quadratic in the electric field for both 251/2 and 201/2.

In contrast, when Electric field >> D1, the energy shift is approximately Uluear in the electric field. Similarly, when electric field $\ll \Delta_2$ $(=E_0(2p_{3/2})-E_0(2p_{1/2}))$, the energy Shift of the 2p312 level is approximately quadratic in the electric field while when electric field $\gg b_2$, it is appoximately linear. Since $\Delta_2 \gg \Delta_1$, the shift

field compared to that for the $2P_{1/2}$ and $2S_{1/2}$.

Let up derive the above trends using Perturbation theory.

of 2P312 is approximately quadratic

over a bigger range of the electric

As a warm-up, let us first ignore the fine-structure effects completely. so that the Hamiltonian is: $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} + e \in \mathbb{Z}$ perturbation. Unperturbed Hamiltonian where E denotes the strength of the electric field and we have assumed that it points along the Z-direction. The first thing to notice is that [t], H]=0 and therefore the Spin-degeneracy can not be littled by the electric field => The energy shift for $\sigma_2 = 1_2$ level will be identical to that for the $\overline{\tau}_{z}=-\frac{1}{2}$ level. Therefore, in the following, the internal spin

will play no role.

The unperturbed levels for N=1 and N=2 principal quantum numbers are:

|n=2|l=0|m=0||n=2|l=1|m=0||m=2||l=1||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1|||m=1

m=0 m=0 m=0

Where Me denotes Lz eigenvalue and Tz denotes of eigenvalue. The double lives = denote the degeneracy associated with tz. Ofcourse, the unperturbed eigenvalues depend only on 'n' creal that we are neglecting the fine-trusture currently), and therefore all eight levels with n=2 are degenerate.

Relevant selection rules:

Since the perturbation = $e \in \frac{1}{2}$, we usil need

to calculate matrix elements of the form $M = \langle n, 0, u_z, \sigma_z | \hat{Z} | n', u', u'_z, \sigma_z' \rangle$.

Symmetries / conservation laws impose several constraints on this matrix element:

(i) Since $\frac{1}{2}$ rets only in the real space and not on the internal spin (i.e. $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \otimes \mathbb{1}_s$),

not on the internal spin (i.e. $2=2\otimes 1_s$) $M = 0 \text{ unless } \sigma_Z = \sigma_Z'.$

(ii) Under parity transformation, $\hat{z} \rightarrow -\hat{z}$, i.e. $P^{\dagger} \hat{z} P = -\hat{z}$ where \hat{P} is the Unitary operator that implements the parity symmetry. Further, as discussed

Previously, $P \mid n, l, l_z, \tau_z \rangle = (-)^l \mid n, l, l_z, \tau_z \rangle$ $\Rightarrow M = 0$ unless |l - l'| = 1 mod 2, i.e. l and l' must have different Parity for M to be non-zero.

for example, an 12-01 bital (i.e. Q=0) can mix with a p-orbital (l=1) but not with a d-probital (0=2). (iii) $\stackrel{\wedge}{2}$ is the Q=0 component of the vector operator to (recall a reator Operator V = (Vx, Vy, Vz) satisties [Vi, Jj] = i Eijk Vk, and one can define Vo = Vz, V±1 = ICVx±ivy), which satisfy [Jz, Vm] = mVm). Based on our discussion of tensor operators and Wigner-Echart treorem, ZIn, l, lz, Jz) transforms in the same way as 1 d=1, 2z=0> @ 1n, Q, 2z, oz>. Therefore, , well notibbe mutuement religion rules, the state 2/n, d, dz, Tz> can only nurtuemen ralugue latidro eran

U'=U+1, U or U-1. Further, $U'_Z=U_Z$.

Thus, the above matrix element U=0 unless, U'=U+1, U or U-1 and $U_Z=0$.

Let's start with the effect of electric field on the states $I_Z=1$, I=0, $I_Z=0$, $I_Z=0$, $I_Z=0$.

Based on the selection rules just

with ln', l=1, lz=0, σ_z . Since l'< n' (there is no 1P orbital), the state closest in energy that can wix with ln=1, l=0, lz=0, σ_z is lw=2, l'=1, lz=0, σ_z .

discussed, this state can only mix

Per turbatively, the energy shift is then given by: DE (n=1,1=0,1=20,0=) $= e \in \{ (n=1), (n=0), (n=1), (n=1), (n=0), (n=1) \}$ +(ee) [(n=1, l=0, lz=0, \siz) \frac{2}{2} \n', l=1, lz=0, \siz)

n'>0 $(E_{n=0}-E_{n'})$ This is an infinite series which can

be summed numerically capproximately) or even exactly using the exact form of the

try drogen's Wfns. However, as too as qualitative features are concerned, the main out come is that $\Delta E = -ce^2 \varepsilon^2$

where $C \approx \frac{\Omega_0}{\Omega_0}$ where Ω_0 is the

e2/a, radius of the Hydrosen atom.

 \Rightarrow DE \approx $-\epsilon^2 a_o^3$.

Due to the quadratic dependence on the electric field &, twis is called 6 quadratic Stark effect, (named after its discoverer). The exact prefactor can be found and $\Delta E \simeq 2.24 8^2 a_0^3$. Note that the energy shift does not depend on oz (z-component of the Intrinsic spin), and there fore the

Intrinsic spin), and therefore the levels look like:

2.240 $_{0}$ $_{0}$

Polarizability:
Polarizability & is defined as

The 2 x E where The

is the Induced dipole moment due to the applied field E. Every stored in the depote moment = $\int \mu (\varepsilon) d\varepsilon = \chi \varepsilon^2$

Equating tus to DE calculated above, =) $d \sim a_0^3$.

Mext, we consider the energy shift of the N=2 levels due to the exactic field. Recall that unperturbed levels are:

= |u = 1| |u = 0| |u = 1|

for a given set of degenerate states is TX1 HeH1B> = 68 TX121B> +6, E, Z<x15, h><h15, 18> As just discussed, for N=1 levels, the only non-zero matrix elements were with states outside the deservate subspace. Bused on the selection rules discussed above, the same holds true for $M=2, d=1, Ma=\pm 1, \sqrt{2}$, Since there are no states with identical tz and ma while having a different parity of I within the degenerate manifold. Therefore, the states $M=2, l=1, Me=\pm 1, \sqrt{2}$ will also experience a quadratic Stark effect

Recall that the effective Hamiltonian

after mixing with states of hisher every (erg, $\ln 23$, $\ln 22$, $\ln 4 = \pm 1$, $\ln 2$). Therefore, $\Delta E (n=2, \ln 2)$, $\ln 4 = \pm 1$, $\ln 2$) $\approx -\ln^3 2$. In contrast, the states $\ln 2$, $\ln 2$,

and M=2, d=1, M=0, T> will Wix.

Those states lie vituin the degenerate want told and therefore the corresponding effective Hamillowan is:

effective Hamiltonian is:

Heff = $\begin{array}{ll}
0 & e \leq (n=2, d=0, m_0=0) \geq (n=2, d=1, m_0=0) \\
e \leq (n=2, d=1, m_0=0) \geq (n=2, d=0, m_0=0) \\
\end{array}$

 $+ 0 (8^{2}).$

Denoting the non-zero matrix element $|\langle n=2, l=1, m_0=0| \geq |n=2, l=0, m_0=0 \rangle|$ as C (it turns out c=3), the energy shift is $\Delta E = \pm c e E$, i.e. the perturbed enorsy eisenvalues one $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_{n=2}^0 - ce \mathcal{E}$ and $E_2 = E_{N=2} + CeE$, where $E_N = \frac{-13.6eV}{N^2}$ are the unperturbed levels logain recall that we are nesteeting the fine-structure in this section). The corresponding perturbed eisenværors are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|n=2, d=0, m_2=0, \sigma_2\rangle + |n=2, d=1, m_2=0, \sigma_2\rangle$ $\frac{1}{1000}$ $\ln 2$, $\ln 2$ 12 respectively.

Stark effect with fine structure

Above we nesteded the five-structure (nd4). Now lets consider the etectric field perturbation while taking them into account. That is, we consider $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{r} + Hgg + e \in Z$ where Hss devote the correction to the rangelativiste Hamiltonian due to OCX4) relativists effects. We will assume that e & ao is much smaller than ez, So that only levels with some principal que number 'n' can mix. Since for N=1, $Z=0 \Rightarrow$ the discussion is unchanged and there is no

liver stark effect as discussed above. For N=2, the unperturbed levels are $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{3}{2}, d = 1, m_{3}$ $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{3}{2}, d = 1, m_{3}$ where $\Delta_2 \sim \chi^2 \frac{e^2}{\alpha_0}$ and $\Delta_1 \sim \chi^3 \frac{e^2}{\alpha_0}$ ("Lamb Shift") i.e. $\Delta_1 \ll \Delta_2$. Since 2 1 j, l, m, > transforms as 18=1, M0=0> ⊗ 13, 8, M3>, for the (cm, l, il & 1 m, l, i) turnels xirlam to be non-zero, one now required

19-9, = 0 or 7 ang w2=w2. Since there is a unique state with $M_{\overline{J}} = \frac{3}{2}$, or $M_{\overline{J}} = -\frac{3}{2}$, namely the States $1j=\frac{3}{2}$, l=1, $M_3=\pm\frac{3}{2}$, these States do not mix with any state within the N=2 multiplet, and therefore the corresponding energy shift must be atteast quadratic in €. Since we are assuming eEao≪e² We will is nove such shifts and focus on shifts for only tuose states that have non-zero matrix elements with any other states in the N=2 multiplet.

There is no symmetry that prohibits the mixing of 2Ps/2 with 2 S1/2, or the mixing of 2s12 with 29312, and therefore the effective Hamiltonian for these states is the 3x3 matrix: Hett = 1281/2>= 129312>= 12p12>= 1j=1,0=1,m3> 1j=3,0=1,Nb> 12=710=0,M2> c1 Ee 0 ct ee e& c2 $abla^7$ c * e e Δ₂] where we have denoted the non-zero matrix elements by some complex

numbers. These can be calculated and one finds $c_1 = \sqrt{3}$ and $c_2 = -\sqrt{6}$, but we don't need touse values for our qualitative discussion. Since the electric field does not break the time-reversal symmetry, c1 - c2 are gathranteed to be real numbers. Although the above 3x3 matrix can be diagonalized exactly, it is more sucher represent to consider rangelli Muits so that the problem simplifies. First courridon, e ϵ ao << Δ_{1} . In this limit, 251/2 and 2 P1/2 one not Nearly degenerate (since $\frac{\langle x|H_1|B\rangle}{\epsilon_x-\epsilon_B}\ll 1$). and therefore one can simply use

Mon-degenerate perturbation theory to conclude $062912 \sim c_1^2 e^2 \epsilon^2$ and $\Delta E_{2S1/2} \sim -\frac{c_1^2}{\Delta_1} e^2 \frac{e^2}{\Delta_1}$ be. these levels levela experience quadratic stark effect when eEao << D1, constant with Pig. 5.3 in Gottfried-Yan. Ofcourse, the level 2P312 also experience quadratic Stark eftect with $\Delta E_{273b} \sim c_2^2 e^2 \epsilon^2$ (recall $\Delta_2 >> \Delta_1$) Next consider the limit eEao >> 11 but $e \in a_0 \ltimes \Delta_2$. In two simit,

the levels 2512 and 2712 are approximately desenvate while the

level 2036 is not degenerate with trese levels. Therefore, the effective Hamiltonian within the Subspace 128112>, 12 P12> is $\begin{bmatrix} E_D & C_1 & E_E \end{bmatrix}$ where $E_D \simeq \Delta_1 \simeq 0$. The eigenalues and eigenreatons are therefore ED + 1011 Ee, 12512> = 12P12>. Therefore, in twis limit, the energy shift for these two levels is limar in E. In contrast, the level 29312 is Still not deservate with these levels Csince e& ao << by assumption),

 $\Delta \mathcal{E}_{2\beta 3/2} \approx \frac{c_2^2 e^2 \varepsilon^2}{\Delta_2}$ and therefore as before. Finally, consider the simit -13.6eV > e & Co > L2 (>> L1), so that all three levels appear worky degenerate.

One may approximate the effective Hamiltonian of: $\begin{bmatrix} E_D & e& c_1 & 0 \\ e& c_1 & E_D & e& c_2 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} e& c_1 & E_D & e& c_2 \\ 0 & e& c_2 & E_D \end{bmatrix}$ where $E_D = \frac{0+\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{3} = \frac{\Delta_1+\Delta_2}{3}$.

Diagonalizing the Hamiltonian is easier compared to the original 3x3 matix withen above labour me didn't make the assumption esay > 12). The eisenvalues are: EI = ED E2 = ED - e& Vc7+c2 E3 = ED + e & VC7+C2 while the (unnormalized) eigenrechors are: $|E_1\rangle = -c_2|2P_{1/2}\rangle + c_1|2P_{3/2}\rangle$ 1627 = C1/2P1/2> - 1/2+c2 129/2> + C2/2P3/2> 1 E3>= c112 P1/2> + VC12+(2 1251/2> + C2/2P3/2) respectively. Therefore 2P312 and 2P112 experience limar Stark effect while 251/2 experienced no stark effect Cagain see Fig. 5.3 in Gottfried-Yan).