Density Matrix

Given a pure state $|\psi\rangle$, the expension value of any observable \hat{O} wir.t. $|\psi\rangle$ is $\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \langle \psi | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle$

=
$$tr[l\psi\rangle\langle\psi|\hat{0}]$$
 where $tr=trace$
To show this, recall that trace of an operator is $tr(\hat{\Omega} = \bar{\Sigma} \langle \hat{0}; l(\hat{\Omega}) \hat{0}; \rangle$

where {10; >> is any orthorormal basis.

It is important to role that it doesn't

matter what basis one uses. To calculate to [14><41 0] let's choose a basis where one of the elements of the basis is 14> itself. By construction, all

the other elements in the basis set are orthogonal to 147. Let's call 147 = 1417 and the other basis elements

ove $|\phi_2\rangle$, $|\phi_3\rangle$,... etc. $[\hat{0} | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | \mathcal{I} \qquad \langle =$ = \frac{1}{2} < \phi; (\phi) \frac{1}{2} (\phi) \fr = < \$1,16>< \$1,010 < \$1,010 < \$1,010 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$1,000 < \$ $= \langle \varphi | \hat{\sigma} \rangle \langle \varphi | \hat{\sigma} \rangle \langle \varphi \rangle = \langle \varphi | \hat{\sigma} \rangle \langle \varphi \rangle$ where we have used that 10,7 = 147 and < \$1/4> = 0 for 1>1 since the basis is orthonormal. The operation 197691 is called "density matrix" and often denoted as \hat{g} . Therefore $\langle \varphi | \hat{\Omega} | \varphi \rangle = tr(\hat{p} \hat{\Omega})$ where $\hat{p} = 19 \times 41.$ $\hat{p} \approx 100$ grantum analog of a probability distribution fr (p.d.f.) since given a classical p.d.f. gen, x2, -- xn) over n variables

1, 12, -- In, the expectation value of an arbitrary for for, 12-- 2n) is given by. $\langle \xi \rangle = \int dx_1 - dx_n \int (x_1, 1_2 - x_n) f(x_1, -x_n)$ = trlpf] is we think of l as a diagonal matrix. It were, the (quantum) density matrix $\hat{p} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$ when written in a generic basis will have off-diagonal elements, and has many non-intuitive properties leg. quantum entanglement), so this analogy with a classical 8.d.f.

only goes so far.

Consider a composite system whose Hilbert space is ILA & &B. We say that a state 147 in this Hilbert space is unentangled (or "separable") is and only if 147 can be written as

where 1474 and 1478 live in Hilbert Spaces ILA and ILB respectively. Let's consider a few examples:

 $g(\phi) \otimes A(\phi) = \langle \phi |$

(a) 1078107 and 1178117 are clearly unenburyled.

(b) (10>011> ± 11>0010>) and
(10>011> ± 11>010>) are entangled
i-e, can not be written as 10>4010>8.

(c) 8/21+118/01+1518/51+1018/01 is unentangled separable because it can be written or $(107+117)\otimes(107+117)$ These examples illustrate that it can Sometimes be difficult to tall if a State is entangled on not by a quick inspection. For tunately, there is a number one can calculate that is zero if and only if the state is unentangled. This quantity, called von Neumann entanglement (or entanglement entropy) is given by $S_A = -tr_A[e_A \log e_A]$ (14> < 41) But = 48 where tra, tro denote partial tracea over HA, HB respectively.

Specifically, for any operator à trata

tra ô = \(\frac{7}{4} \) \(\frac{1}{6} \) \(\frac{1}{14} \) \(\frac{1}{6} \) \(\frac{1}{14} \) 1A; is a complete basis for XA. One similarly defined top. Note that tra o is an operator that acts on the RB = the Hilbert space that was not traced out. Note that one may also write the

entanglement $SA = -\sum N i A log NiA$ where NiA are eigenvalues of PA.
Let's consider a few examples.

(a) Let's first consider any separable State: IU> = 14A> 81AB> so that = 9, 10><や1 144> & 48> < 44) & < p8) $Tr_{BP} = \sum_{i} \langle B_{i}, 1918; \rangle$ where 18;> is an orthonormal busis for XB.

One may chance 10/82 as one the passes demonts and the rest are therefore orthosonal to $(\phi_8) \Rightarrow f_A =$

Tropp = < \$1 19 \$8 1 49 < \$4 1 \$6 68 1 \$8 = 16A> <6A1

Since this is a projector onto lopy. this operator has only one non-zero

eigenralie, namely, one. $= 7 \quad S_A = 1 \times log(1) = 0$

(b)
$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\log_{A} \log_{B} + 11 \right]$$

$$\int_{A} = \operatorname{Tr}_{B} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$$

$$= \int_{B} 0 |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|0\rangle_{B} + \int_{B} 1 |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|1\rangle_{B}$$

$$|\psi\rangle \langle \psi| = \frac{1}{2} \left[\log_{A} 10 \right]_{B} \langle 0 |\xi 0|$$

In the trB, only the first two terms yield non-ranishing contribution:

$$SA = \frac{1}{2} \left[107_{A} \left[401 + 137_{A} \right] \left[417 \right] \right]$$

the top, only the first two contribution:

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{107}{4} \right] \times 117 \times$$

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{107}{4} \right] \times 1374 \right]$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \times 0 \right]$$

 $= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$

 \Rightarrow $S_A = -\frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{1}{2}) - \frac{1}{2} \log(\frac{1}{2}) = \log(2)$

Pure Stated 1/3 Mixed States

A single quantum state 14% is called a pure state? The corresponding density matrix $\beta = 14 \times 41$ is projector i.e. it satisfies $\beta^2 = \beta$.

Next consider an ensemble of such pure

Next consider an ensemble of such pure states states sly; is prepared with probability Pi. The

expectation value of any observable \hat{O} is then $\langle \hat{O} \rangle = \sum_{i} P_{i} \langle \psi_{i} | \hat{O} | \psi_{i} \rangle$

 $= \sum_{i} P_{i} \operatorname{Tr} \hat{O}(|\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}|)$ $= \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{O}(\sum_{i} P_{i}|\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}|)]$ $= \operatorname{Tr}[\hat{O}\hat{P}]$

where $\hat{\beta} = \sum_{i} P_{i} |\psi_{i}\rangle \langle \psi_{i}|$ is the mixed-state (or mixed density matrix)

correstanding to the ensemble $\{l\psi; \lambda\}$.

As discussed above, a pure state $l\psi$?

defined over the tensor-product Hillbert

Space $X_A \otimes X_B$ is considered ventousled

(or severable) if $l\psi$? can be written

(or separable) is lipt can be written as 107 = 10AY 010BY. In a similar spirit, a mixed state g is considered unentangled (separable if it can be united as $P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1$

written as $g = \sum_{i} p_{i} | d_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i} > 8 | d_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i} > 8 | d_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i} = 8 | d_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}_{i}$ where $p_{i} > 0$ are some numbers. Let's consider on example. Consider on mixed state that i = an

Consider a mixed state than 13 and equal mixture of the following to entangled pure states 1007 + 1117, 1007 - 1117) 1007 + 1117, 1007 + 1117)

 $g = \frac{1}{2} [|\psi_1 \rangle \langle \psi_1 | + |\psi_2 \rangle \langle \psi_2 |]$ One may naively think that is entangled since both 14,7 and 1427 are entangled. However, this is am in correct guess. After substituting the expressions for 14,7,1427, are finds $g = \frac{1}{2} [100) < 001 + 131) < 311$ which is clearly of the form β = \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}\) \(\frac{1}{2}\) \(\frac{1}{2 and hence not entangled. Compared to pure states, it is a much harden to determine if a given mixed state 13 unentrungled.

That is,