Busic structure and postulated of QM. Cheal Shankar Ch.1 or Gottfried Ch.2) - The states, denoted by the symbol 14>. (ket 147) live in a Hilbert space (a redor spuce equipped with a scalar product). One may chose a basis of the Hilbert space, e.g. { la> 3 and all states can be expanded in a chosen basis:  $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{100} |\psi\rangle$  $= \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \psi\rangle$ =  $\sum_{\alpha}$   $\langle \alpha | \psi \rangle$   $|\alpha \rangle$ a complex number. For example, if the basis is two-dimensional. then pickon'ally: 1/02)

The basis used to express a state lyx is a choice. One may as well use a different basis. The components in two different busies are related by a unitary transformation  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \psi \rangle | \alpha \rangle$  $= \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \psi \rangle | \alpha \rangle$  $\Rightarrow \frac{\langle \chi | \psi \rangle}{\chi_{\chi}} = \sum_{\alpha} \frac{\langle \alpha | \psi \rangle}{\chi_{\alpha}} \frac{\langle \chi | \alpha \rangle}{\chi_{\alpha}}$ U is a unitary matrix i.e. Ut u= 1 Check:  $(\mathcal{U}^{+}\mathcal{U})_{\alpha b} = \sum_{\alpha} (\mathcal{U}^{+})_{\alpha \alpha} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha b}$  $= \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{N}_{\alpha\alpha} \mathcal{N}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \alpha | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | \beta \rangle$ = <a1b> = 8ab.

to yield states.  $\hat{O} |\psi_1\rangle = |\psi_2\rangle$ If one chooses a basis for the Hilbert Space, the above equation can be thought as action of a matrix on a rector to yield another rector: < a 1 0 141> = < a 122> => \( \zerightarrow \( \zerightarrow \) \( \zer => \( \sum\_{b} \hat{O}\_{ab} \approx \parabold{1}\_{1b} = \parabold{1}\_{2a} Therefore, States ~ rector, operators~ matrices Our can multiply and add operators to obtain other operators. One can add states to obtain other States, but there is no meaningful multiplication of states within the same tilbert space. [ Stated live in a vector space, operators in a ring ].

The operators in QM out on states

There are just two postulates: 1. The information about a system is encoded in its wave-fn /2pct)> (in an appropriate Hilbert space) that evolves according to the Schrödingers ean: i d 10 (4)>= H 10 (4)> [determinatio] where H is a hermitian operator called Hamiltonian. Note that this equation implies that <q(t) | q(t)>=1 +t. 2. If a measurement of an observable I is made on the system, then the measurement will always result in on outcome w that is one of the

eigenvalues of R.

The outcome is intrinsically probabilistic, with the probability for outcome  $\omega$ ,  $p(\omega) = 1/(\omega) 2p(t)/1$ . Right after the measurement, the wave-gn is given by ("wavet" collapse"):  $\langle \omega | \langle \varphi | \omega \rangle = \langle \varphi | \psi \rangle$ VKW179>12 Ou can only measure commuting operators Simultaneously. a) The postulate #1 describes systema with continuous time evolution. One can also consider a case where wavefunction abraptly changes from 1402 to 11402 at some point in time, where U is a unitary operator i.e. U+U=1. Physically, tuis may be thought of

as a special case of #1 where the Hamiltonian H is non-zero only for an infinitesimal devotion "dt" during which it is infinitely large. eg. if HH= h 8(t-to) where SCXI B the Dirac-delta for and h B Some operator, then the schrodinger's equ implied, 14(+4+)> = 19は0-41)> - i ん が と (t-to) ん は 19は)>  $\Rightarrow 1900 \hookrightarrow \sim \langle 1900 - 300 \rangle$ 

where  $\hat{U} = \Delta - \hat{V}$ .

(b) If the eigenspectrum of the measured operator I has degeneracies, then postulate #2 as stated above is ambiguous. This is easily fixed by modifying it as:  $141 = - P_{\omega} 147$ JYPW41PW4> where Pw B the projector onto the subspace of û with eigenvalue w. for example. if there are two eigenvectors (w, ) and (w2) of Q, both with the same eigenvalue w, then after monsuring û, the probability of obtaining outcome is is 

and the state right after the masurement is siven by.  $|\psi\rangle\rangle = N(|\omega\rangle/\langle\omega_1| + |\omega_2\rangle/\langle\omega_2|)|\psi(t)\rangle$ Pw NB tre normalization = 1. (C) The eisenverbors of H play an important role in a large class of problems. This is because if a system is prepared in an eigenstate IE> of A, then the time evolution is trivial:  $|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{iEt}|E\rangle$ , i.e. up to a phase factor (that is not relevant here), the state is simply IE> for all times. The eisenvalue problem for H, namely, HIE> = EIE> B called time-independent Schrodinger Egn.

(d) Expectation value of an operator. Tonsider several copies of the same State lips and let's measure the same operator û for each copy. As mentioned above, the outcome is probabilistic. Therefore, one many ask about the average over the out comes as number of copies -> in finity. This is called the expectation value of it wor.t. 147. If the eigenspectrum of R is denoted as Iwi (some notation as above), tran,  $pob.(\omega) = |\langle \omega | \phi \rangle|^2$ 

Expectation value of  $\hat{\mathcal{L}} = \langle \hat{\Omega} \rangle$  $\omega$  (w).dog  $\leq$  $\sum_{\omega} |\langle \omega | \psi \rangle|^2 \omega$  $\langle \varphi | \omega \rangle \langle \omega | \psi \rangle \omega \leq =$  $\langle \psi (| \omega \rangle \langle \omega | \omega | Z) | \psi \rangle$ < \( \psi \) \( \psi \ where we have used  $\hat{\Omega} = \sum_{\alpha} |\alpha| \langle \alpha|$ (spectral decomposition of 2). Its worth emphasizing that this is just the average out come obtained over a very larse number of repealed measurements made on identical copies of ly).